

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,317	09/23/2003	Jerry Rayborn	P-0039US	9262
75	90 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Dan M. de la Rosa, Esq. Suite 27H			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
345 E. 80th Stre	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10021			1712	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Occurrence	10/667,317	RAYBORN, JERR	RY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip C. Tucker	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	action is non-final.					
'	,—					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-14,16,17,19,21-28 and 30-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-14,16,17,19,21-28 and 30-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	variance. Note the attached	d Office Action of form 1	10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, 16, 17, 19, 21-26, 41 and 42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 and 36-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,734,145. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the independent claims do not teach the use of graphite, dependent claims such as 13 and 24 of US '145 teach that graphite may be used as a part of the fluid loss system, and the use thereof would render the present claims obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, 16, 17, 19, 21-26, 41 and 42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36, 46 and 47 of U.S. Patent No. 6,734,384. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the

Application/Control Number: 10/667,317

Art Unit: 1712

independent claims do not teach the use of graphite, dependent claims such as 13 and 29 of US '384 teach that graphite may be used as a part of the fluid loss system, and the use thereof would render the present claims obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-14, 16, 17, 19, 21-28, 30-42 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5-13, 15-17, 19-27, 29-39 of copending Application No. 10/667415. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the claims of 10/667415 differ in additionally teaching the use of talc, such uses the graphite in combination with the same components of the current claims and would render them obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. With respect to claim 27, the steps taken concurrently are the equivalent of steps taken successively (Asbestos Shingle, Slate & Sheating Co. vs. Rock Fiber Mfg, 217 F. 66).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/667,317 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1712

PCT-3844